

City Regions Board

Agenda

Wednesday, 16 June 2021
1.00 pm

Online via Microsoft Teams

City Regions Board
16 June 2021

There will be a meeting of the City Regions Board at **1.00 pm on Wednesday, 16 June 2021** Online via Microsoft Teams.

Attendance Sheet:

Please ensure that you sign the attendance register, which will be available in the meeting room. It is the only record of your presence at the meeting.

Apologies:

Please notify your political group office (see contact telephone numbers below) if you are unable to attend this meeting.

Conservative:	Group Office: 020 7664 3223	email: lgaconservatives@local.gov.uk
Labour:	Group Office: 020 7664 3263	email: Peter.Robbins@local.gov.uk
Independent:	Group Office: 020 7664 3224	email: independent.grouplga@local.gov.uk
Liberal Democrat:	Group Office: 020 7664 3235	email: libdem@local.gov.uk

LGA Contact:

Emma West (emma.west@local.gov.uk)

City Regions Board – Membership 2020/2021

Councillor	Authority
Conservative (5)	
Cllr. Abi Brown (Vice-Chair)	Stoke-on-Trent City Council
Cllr. Robert Alden	Birmingham City Council
Joanne Laban	Enfield Council
Toby Savage	West of England Combined Authority
Vacancy	Conservative Group
Substitutes	
Cllr. Barry Anderson	Leeds City Council
Daniel Fitzhenry	Southampton City Council
Julia Lepoidevin	Coventry City Council
Labour (14)	
Sir Richard Leese CBE (Chair)	Manchester City Council
Cllr. Susan Hinchcliffe (Vice-Chair)	Bradford Metropolitan District Council
John Merry	Salford City Council
Cllr Shaun Davies	Telford and Wrekin Council
Cllr. Martin Gannon	Gateshead Council
Mayor Marvin Rees	Bristol City Council
Cllr. Timothy Swift MBE	Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council
Danny Thorpe	Royal Borough of Greenwich
David Mellen	Nottingham City Council
Cllr Shama Tatler	Brent Council
Anthony Hunt	Torfaen County Borough Council
Elise Wilson	Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council
James Swindlehurst	Slough Borough Council
Vacancy	Labour Group
Substitutes	
Jason Brock	Reading Borough Council
Graeme Miller	Sunderland City Council
Cllr. Samantha Dixon	Cheshire West and Chester Council
Liberal Democrat (2)	
Anita Lower (Deputy Chair)	Newcastle upon Tyne City Council
Gareth Roberts	Richmond upon Thames London Borough Council
Substitutes	
Independent (1)	
Gillian Ford (Deputy Chair)	Havering London Borough Council

<i>Substitutes</i>	
Cllr Phelim Mac Cafferty	Brighton & Hove City Council

Agenda

City Regions Board

Wednesday 16 June 2021

1.00 pm

Online via Microsoft Teams

Item	Page
<u>OPEN SESSION</u>	
1. Welcome, Apologies and Substitutes and Declarations of Interest	
2. Levelling up	1 - 4
3. Moving our employment and skills work forward	5 - 14
4. Publications update	15 - 18
5. Annual report	19 - 24
<u>CLOSED SESSION</u>	
6. A vision for urban growth and recovery	25 - 82
7. LEP review and letter	83 - 88
8. UK Community Renewal Fund and UK Shared Prosperity Fund	89 - 92
9. Youth Participation update	93 - 116
10. Minutes of the last meeting	117 - 124

Date of Next Meeting: Thursday, 23 September 2021, 1.00 pm, Venue TBC

Levelling Up

Purpose of report

For direction.

Summary

The Government's announcement of a Levelling Up White Paper in place of the previous Devolution (and Local Recovery) White Paper has implications for our policy and lobbying activity. This paper asks members' views on a change of emphasis.

Is this report confidential? Yes No

Recommendation/s

Members are asked to agree the change of approach and comment on any area of focus.

Action/s

Officers to develop a work programme leading up to the publication of the White Paper in the autumn.

Contact officer: Rebecca Cox
Position: Principal Policy Adviser
Phone no: 07921 604 237
Email: rebecca.cox@local.gov.uk

Levelling Up

Background

1. For some time local government has been expecting a Devolution, or more recently, Devolution and Local Recovery White Paper. This was expected to set out Government's devolution agenda and next steps on localising powers and responsibilities. The People and Places and City Regions Board have led the LGA's preparations for influencing the development of the White Paper.
2. Recently, the Prime Minister announced his intention to publish a "Levelling Up" White Paper to deliver on manifesto commitments to address differences in productivity and opportunity across the United Kingdom.
3. It has now been confirmed by MHCLG that the Levelling Up White Paper supersedes the planned devolution White Paper(s). This change of focus suggests an opportunity to review the Board's work and how it might most effectively influence this new agenda on behalf of councils.

Issues

4. As set out in the [Queen's Speech](#):
 - 4.1. The Government will bring forward a Levelling Up White Paper later this year, building on actions the Government is already taking to level up across the UK.
 - 4.2. This will set out "bold new policy interventions to improve livelihoods and opportunities in all parts of the UK." It looks to improve living standards, grow the private sector, improve health, education and policing, strengthen communities and local leadership and restoring pride in place.
 - 4.3. It defines levelling up in terms of creating good jobs, skills and productivity in places that have seen economic decline and enabling more people to grasp the opportunities of Brexit and get on in life without feeling they have to leave their local area.
5. Delivery of the wider Levelling Up agenda will be led by Neil O'Brien MP, who will head up a unit in the Cabinet Office answering to Number 10. Tom Walker, currently at Defra but previously a lead MHCLG civil servant in the development of Growth Deals and the early Devolution Deals, will be leading the team. This is a change of leadership away from MHCLG, with the unit having a much wider remit and the ability to drive interventions across Whitehall. Senior LGA officers have had some early engagement with the unit and conversations have thus far been positive.
6. The scope of the Levelling Up agenda extends across most, if not all, of the LGA's policy boards. However, some of the key features – skills and employment and growth funding – are led by this Board and the People and Places Board. Devolution, which has also

been a key area of work for the Board, does not explicitly feature in the Government's vision as it has been set out to date.

7. It is probable that Government's work will focus on concrete areas of delivery, as set out in paragraph 4.2. The Board has a strong track record of policy development in these areas. However, in order to better influence the upcoming White Paper and work programme, officers suggest a change in approach for our lobbying work. This would showcase local government's ability to deliver effectively and at pace and to make a real difference in people's lives, building on councils' remarkable efforts during the pandemic.
8. We might, for example, seek to use new research and media work to demonstrate even more clearly councils' successes in helping people into work or retraining into new sectors, with less of a public focus on joining up the wiring of the skills and employment system. This very necessary policy work will of course continue, and local government's ability to align disparate national initiatives is in itself a selling point.
9. This is likely to mean less media and lobbying activity presenting devolution as desirable in and of itself and a greater focus on the better outcomes that might be secured through stronger local control. By weaving devolution through policy areas that are priorities for Government, we hope to promote a shift towards acceptance of devolution as a means to improved delivery.
10. We have upcoming opportunities within the LGA annual conference report and plenary session, support from the LGA campaigns team to develop public-facing work, and forthcoming publications as set out elsewhere in this agenda. We would particularly draw on the urban recovery report discussed at this meeting. Taken together this should give us a strong platform for taking forward our messages.
11. We will however need to balance this with the need to continue to lobby on devolution as a priority area of interest for our membership. One way to do this is by continuing to work closely with the Devolution APPG who will be engaging with Government following the success of their recent report.
12. This Board will also be mindful of those Mayors, combined authorities and their constituent members that benefitted earliest from devolution and will want to ensure that the changing narrative around devolution does not hold them back from further progress. The principle of differential devolution is an established LGA line and should underpin our upcoming lobbying work.
13. Officers are also mindful of Government's strong focus on the Union and possibility that a Scottish independence referendum might bring a fresh focus on devolution in England. The Board's previous work on devolution stands it in good stead to respond quickly should this be the case.

14. **Are Board members happy with this change of emphasis? Are there any policy areas that would particularly benefit from this approach?**

Implications for Wales

15. Wales is on its own devolution journey. Officers liaise with the WLGA on areas of shared interest.

Financial Implications

16. Proposed work can be undertaken from within the Board's budget.

Next steps

17. Officers to develop a work programme leading up to the publication of the White Paper with public affairs, campaigns and media colleagues.

Moving our employment and skills work forward

Purpose of report

For discussion.

Summary

This paper aims to support a Board discussion to take stock of our employment and skills activity over the past year, agree how we re-position our messaging around new Government policy, and plan activity over the coming year. This paper was discussed at the People & Places Board on 8 June.

Alongside this item is a separate paper on young people's education, employment and training.

Is this report confidential? Yes No

Action/s

Members are asked to:

1. Note activity over the past year (paragraph 2)
2. Advise on re-positioning our work given new Government policy (paragraphs 3-5)
3. Provide a steer on any new activity for the upcoming year (paragraph 6)

Contact officer: Jasbir Jhas
Position: Senior Adviser
Phone no: 0207 664 3114
Email: jasbir.jhas@local.gov.uk

Moving our employment and skills work forward

Background

1. This paper aims to support a discussion that takes stock of our employment and skills activity over the past year, any re-positioning needed on our messaging given new Government policy, and planning activity over the coming year.

Activity over the last year

2. Much of our work in the past year has focused on the Covid-19 implications. We have:
 - 2.1. Responded to Covid-19 with a **jobs and skills recovery position paper** that puts Work Local principles at the heart of our solution. Alongside this we developed policy positions on **Kickstart** and **Restart** which have both shaped DWP's evolving thinking. The Boards also took a view on the **Further Education White Paper** which will inform lobbying on the new Skills and Post-16 Education Bill (May 2021).
 - 2.2. Organised a roundtable between both Boards and the **Minister for Employment** to discuss various Plan for Jobs initiatives (December 2020).
 - 2.3. Launched new data on projected **green jobs** required in each local authority area by 2030 and 2050 (June 2020). This landed well with our sector, stakeholders, national media and with Parliament having provided written and oral evidence to the Environmental Audit Committee (March 2021)
 - 2.4. Organised a **Devolution APPG session** (July 2020) on green jobs, Work Local and recovery bringing parliamentarians, councillors and stakeholders together.
 - 2.5. Worked with sector to set out the implications of the Government's **Adult Education Budget** funding rule change on councils' adult and community learning provision. Proactive work to influence the Government in progress (**see annex A**).
 - 2.6. Responded to the [DfE's flexi-apprenticeship consultation](#) (**see annex B**).
 - 2.7. Brought together **Whitehall** leads with councils to engage on, and shape, Plan for Jobs related activity, and ran a workshop with the sector and the **National Audit Office** on its inquiry into the workings of DWP during Covid-19.
 - 2.8. Enhanced our **improvement support offer** which included:
 - 2.8.1. **Councillor's guide** to maximise local adult and community learning service.
 - 2.8.2. **Local government's role in jobs and skills recovery**. To share learning and ideas across the sector we launched two online resources: 1) *Top tips on five themes to boost a local jobs and skills recovery* delivered by the *Learning and Work Institute* setting out what the sector can do to: **deal with economic shocks and opportunities, improve basic skills and capabilities, retrain the local population, address long-term unemployment and youth unemployment**. 2) Thirteen case studies delivered by *Rocket Science* showing local government's role to support jobs and skills during the crisis and looking ahead to recovery. These are on a new [Local employment and skills recovery learning hub](#) and launched at a [webinar](#) on 20 May with 208 delegates.

2.8.3. **Mapping national employment and skills provision.** As most provision is commissioned and procured nationally by Government departments or their agencies on different geographic boundaries, it can be difficult for council employment and skills teams to know what support is available and when. This completed project by *Research Matters* has mapped provision out and aims to help improve conversations between local and national government on how to collaborate on existing provision by geography and any future opportunity. It will be available in the summer.

Re-positioning our messaging around new Government policy

3. In our jobs and skills recovery messaging, we recommend:
 - 3.1. Much better alignment locally and nationally of infrastructure investment to create jobs with employability measures so residents benefit from new local opportunities;
 - 3.2. That with sufficient resources and a co-design partnership to shape new and re-design existing Plan for Jobs initiatives, local government can coordinate well-intended but disconnected national schemes around 'place' so they become greater than the sum of their parts; and
 - 3.3. Moving beyond recovery and looking ahead to the Levelling Up White Paper, our Work Local principles should form a blueprint for skills and employment devolution.
4. The Government's likely approach to jobs, skills, recovery, and levelling up are set out in:
 - 4.1. The Levelling Up White paper may signal a shift from devolution and a focus on outcomes as suggested in the Queen's Speech notes: *"increasing and spreading opportunity, because while talent is evenly distributed, opportunity is not ... creating new good jobs, boosting training and growing productivity ... not through a one-size-fits-all approach, but nurturing different types of economic growth and building on the different strengths that different places have."* (Queen's Speech notes, p30)
 - 4.2. [Skills for Jobs](#) Further Education White Paper (January 2021) confirms a business-led approach to prioritising local skills provision through Local Skills Improvement Plans (LSIPs) which will soon be [piloted](#). Our reading of the Skills and Post-16 Education Bill launched on 18 May 2021 puts employer leadership of LSIPs on a statutory footing but with no clear role for local government. **We recommend the LGA works across local government to influence this legislation.**
5. To land our skills and employment work more effectively, and in addition to paragraph 3, we propose introducing a new emphasis on our work which strikes a balance of:
 - 5.1. Continuing to lobby for improvements in programmes and structures of the skills and employment system as all communities require joined up services if they are to truly 'level up', which Work Local principles advocate.

- 5.2. Building on evidence in our new employment and skills recovery hub and stepping up our comms work, more effectively communicate local government's practical role to support people, places and businesses. In parallel we should describe how we are the Government's default natural partner in making good various Plan for Jobs initiatives e.g. Kickstart and Restart.

5.3. A Board Member steer on paragraphs 3-5 is welcome.

Next steps

6. New activity over the coming year is suggested below to complement our existing work. A Board Member steer is sought on this or any further suggestions:

Activity	Timescale
Establish a time-limited LGA 'Jobs Taskforce' comprising Lead Members from both Boards to increase engagement with stakeholders (educationalists, employers, think tanks etc) to support policy alignment on topical roundtables (FE system, engaging business, green jobs)	Autumn 2021 -
Parliamentary activity to shape the Skills and Post-16 Education Bill	June 2021 -
More systematic engagement with relevant Ministers (DWP and DfE) to discuss renewed Plan for Jobs initiatives, local government's role in supporting jobs and skills through lockdown and recovery, improving relationships with Government agencies and providers at a local level.	Summer 2021 -
The impact of the end of furlough on local authority areas alongside existing unemployment, and what is needed from the local provider base to help adults retrain for new jobs	September 2021 –
Exploring how improved and closer working between DWP and local government on the ground could facilitate better outcomes for the unemployed and increased business engagement.	Autumn 2021 – Spring 2022
Continue to enhance our improvement offer: this could include developing a guide to Good Work Charters, and helping the sector expand its employment and skills offer and facilitate learning amongst each other (knowledge hub).	Autumn 2021 –

Implications for Wales

7. While employability is a devolved matter, the LGA and WLGA liaise to share approaches on the level of local / national collaboration.

Financial Implications

8. Any new policy and improvement work will be covered through the 2021/22 allocated budgets.

Annex A: LGA note: New Adult Education Budget reclaim threshold– implications for councils, 8 April 2021

LGA ask: The Government reconsider its 90 percent Adult Education Budget (AEB) reconciliation rate. Instead it should apply a threshold closer to 2019/2020 (68 per cent) and / or base it on real time data from June returns as the closest indicator of final outturn.

The issue

On 22 March, DfE [announced](#) a policy change for AEB funding rules for academic year 2020/2021. It means that any provider, including a local authority (plus FE colleges and independent training providers), which delivers less than the new threshold of **90 percent of their annual AEB target** will see their remaining allocations – paid on profile – reclaimed by the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA).

DfE believes that the new threshold is accurate based on provider projections of adult learner numbers taken in February 2021. Reclaim calculations are based on the total Adult Education Budget provider allocation – taking accredited and non-accredited values together (see below). The amount reclaimed from the provider is the shortfall difference between the 90 percent and the actual level of contract achievement. **DfE will make final reconciliations in November and expect payment between Jan – March 2022.**

Covid-19 part affected the 2019/20 academic year, so DfE relaxed the threshold to 68 percent, recognising learner numbers reduced but costs remained. The 2020/21 academic year has been equally, if not more exceptional, with the autumn and spring terms severely affected by national restrictions, as will most of the summer term. The change does not take adequate account of the **continued** impact of lockdown on learning, local variations, set up costs for socially distanced learning in classroom settings and online learning, or reluctance of learners to engage online. **It is not logical to revise this year's threshold closer to pre-pandemic years than to 2019/20.** NB a normal year reconciliation threshold is 97 per cent.

Implications for councils

Councils' adult and community learning (ACL) services deliver a mix of **accredited** and **non-accredited** courses, the majority of which is funded by DfE's Adult Education Budget (AEB). Across England, AEB is managed by mayoral combined authorities in devolved areas and by the Education Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) for the rest of England.

During the pandemic, they followed Government advice to keep adult learning centres open despite lower learner numbers, reduced referrals from DWP etc. They incurred costs setting up social distanced learning in classrooms which resulting in lower class sizes, and costs for online learning. Unlike other providers, they had no recourse to emergency funds, loans, reserves and because of national rules, were unable to furlough staff. Alongside the day job, they pivoted services to support the community during the pandemic (PPE, meals etc). **They remained open because Government told them to, with no suggestion money would be reclaimed. The new threshold is unfair and unexpected. If they knew this was to happen, many would have been forced to close, or reduce services.**

A recent survey of ACL providers – mainly councils – by Holesx (the representative body), revealed that **81 percent would not reach the 90 percent target.** Of those, 43 percent are

likely to reach 70-75 percent at best. The LGA spoke to several councils about the expected financial implications. Councils with a higher proportion of accredited learning (adult skills) that is paid on profile i.e. needs to be 'earned', as compared to non-accredited learning (community learning) which is grant funded, are more likely to have allocations reclaimed.

- One county council is likely to deliver 70 per cent of its target, which could require 'returning' a 20 per cent shortfall of c.£70,000. A north west unitary council forecasts it will meet 75 per cent, requiring it to make up a 15 per cent shortfall of £165,000. Both are proactively exploring how the shortfall will be funded internally and with DfE. We know of at least five other councils that expect significant reclaim of funds that they do not have. Figures not yet available.
- Those councils with a higher proportion on non-accredited grant funding are in a better position. One of the largest recipients – a county council – has an AEB allocation which is two thirds non-accredited (community learning) compared to one third accredited (adult skills), so is expected to meet their threshold through a combination of non-accredited learning and short accredited courses.
- NB There are a handful of councils that novated their AEB provision to colleges some time ago so no longer have contractual agreements with the ESFA.

Councils do not have 'unspent' AEB funds. If the threshold decision is not reviewed, they will need to find money from somewhere. If this is from the ACL service, it will affect adult learners in the coming year. If they cannot find ACL savings, they will need to seek funds from the council, so there are implications for wider council budgets.

AEB is devolved to Mayoral Combined Authorities. All are approaching this differently, but are putting in place arrangements which serve not to destabilise the provider market. Liverpool City Region has stuck to the 68 per cent threshold applied nationally last year. **Now is not the time to take money away from council ACL front line services. This change will be a real term cut that will harm services and impede local and national recovery.** The end of furlough in September will lead to a further wave of unemployment. This will likely increase demand for adult learning which councils are well placed to support. In addition, DWP's Restart programme for the long term unemployed starts this summer, and we anticipate its provider base will engage ACL services to support with rapid training to go alongside employment support. Council ACL services have played a critical role during the pandemic to support residents and employers including supporting those affected by redundancy. **This activity should continue and grow rather than have to make cuts.**

Council ACL services consistently perform well and support a client base often hard to engage. **Ofsted** judged 92 percent of ACL services as good or outstanding, making them the best performing part of the FE sector. Last year, the **Education Committee's** adult skills and lifelong learning inquiry singled out ACL as the cornerstone of adult learning, and recommended its services are expanded. The **FE White Paper** (Jan 2021) provides a real opportunity to develop a joined-up place-based local provider base. Councils ACL services are critical to this, providing a stepping stone into further learning and work. **The LGA would welcome a conversation with HMG about the added value of councils' ACL functions and we continue to call for place-based jobs and skills solutions through Work Local.**

What changes we need: **The Government should reconsider its 90 percent AEB reconciliation rate, and instead apply a threshold closer to 2019/2020 (68 percent) and / or base it on real time data from June returns as the closest indicator of final outturn.**

Annex B: LGA response to the flexi-apprenticeship consultation, 1 June 2021

Background

As leaders and trusted conveners of place, local government has brought real added value by coordinating action to support local businesses and residents throughout the pandemic. Recovery interventions must be locally tailored recognising that every area has different demographics, economic strengths and weaknesses. Fundamental to the success of all of this is how different interventions (national/local, existing/new) are coordinated nationally and locally. This is essential to the levelling up agenda.

Apprenticeship provision and maximum flexibility to use it alongside other interventions will be critical to addressing localised employment and training challenges and opportunities, both within local government and across the wider local economy. To this end, we are keen to be involved in further discussions with the Government about the future of the Apprenticeship Levy and submit our views on the proposed flexi-apprenticeship (FA) approach.

Wider and more localised application of flexi-apprenticeship

Since the introduction of the Apprenticeships Levy in 2017, local government has got behind the policy in the following ways:

- Created almost 55,000 apprenticeship opportunities within their own authorities. Despite this, the sector continues to face skills challenges, with three quarters reporting they experience recruitment and retention issues and 51 per cent telling the LGA that they were experiencing significant difficulties recruiting for some posts.
- Supported many local employers to navigate the system to create even more across the local economy. Alongside this, the sector has made effective use of the recent Levy transfer flexibilities by committing funds to help create a further 1,900 more apprenticeships with SMEs, charities and other employers across England.

In this context, the flexi-apprenticeship (FA) approach is welcome. However, we believe it could have a wider application beyond the proposed target sectors identified by the Government and even greater impact across place including through the following ways:

- Local government as a sector has significant scope to benefit from flexi-apprenticeships within our own workforce, the maintained schools' workforce, and those of partner organisations such as employers in our supply chains. Between May 2019 and March 2020, local government saw approximately £23m returned to the Treasury in unspent Levy funds at a rate of over £2m per month. The LGA estimates this figure has risen by £3m during the 2020/21 financial year, so there is significant unspent Levy funds within local government that could be redirected to support the creation of apprenticeships in key skills shortage areas – both within our sector and with partner organisations – which the portable and flexi-apprenticeships models could facilitate.
- Portable and flexi-apprenticeships would be of particular benefit to maintained schools. Many of these schools, for which local authorities remain the employer for apprenticeship purposes, are small primary schools. Often these schools have

limited resources, find it challenging to find the funds to employ an apprentice full time and do not have the capacity to undertake the administration needed to access the apprenticeships system. Previous attempts by some of these primary schools to 'share' an apprentice between two or more schools have not always been successful due to the difficulty of getting one of the participating schools to be willing to 'bear the risk' of being the full-time employer of an apprentice that is working part-time for other schools. This could be overcome by an Apprenticeship Training Agency (ATA) acting as the employer through a flexi-apprenticeship model thereby removing some of the risk administrative burden away from individual schools and on to the ATA. Roles like apprenticeship teaching assistants specialising in physical education, which are not typically full-time in a primary school but could be full-time if spread across two or more schools, would be strong candidates.

- Local authorities have a high proportion of part-time staff compared to other sectors and there may be scope to use flexi-apprenticeships to create full-time roles shared across two or more councils – something that may be useful in particular for district councils. If a full-time role can be advertised instead with the individual placed with two geographically close authorities, it may allow those councils to address some of their skills shortages and attract higher-quality candidates.
- Across a local area, it may make sense for an apprentice to move between two or more employers that do not fall within the same sector. One such example is an off-mooted rotational apprenticeship in social care that would see the apprentice split their time between working in a local authority and working with the NHS. Government should ensure that innovative solutions to pressing problems in our country, like tackling the recruitment and retention difficulties within social care, are not excluded from this policy.
- The Government should consider a business case for employers that also operate project-based roles that may be specific to localities and regions including micro businesses who may not have representative bodies to support them.
- There is also an important role that we can play as leaders of place. Local government economic development teams work closely with the business community and play a vital role in identifying existing and future skills challenges and opportunities. With the right resources, local government could help coordinate flexi apprenticeship schemes across place.

Three other areas of the consultation we specifically wanted to make reference to in our response concern entry criteria for the new register (Q8), the closure and withdrawal of the existing dormant register of ATAs (Q11) and the issue of funding (Qs 12-15).

New register and ATAs

Delays enforced by administration burdens placed on existing and prospective ATAs wanting to access the flexi-apprenticeships model must be kept to an absolute minimum. This is especially the case for those that are live running and have placed apprenticeships with employers. In these instances, ATAs should be allowed to continue to operate with no 'gap' created between the discontinuation of the current register and the establishment of a new one where they are not considered to be 'registered' and restricted from operating.

This is particularly necessary in some parts of local government due to the ongoing issue we face around the use of Pooled PAYE systems. Some operate these systems on behalf of non-maintained schools in their areas, for which they are not the employer. Non-maintained schools would typically access apprenticeship funding independently from the council, but as they share a PAYE number with the council, the schools cannot create their own digital apprenticeship service account to access the funding. This has left these schools functionally cut off from creating apprenticeships.

The use of ATAs to employ an apprentice is one solution that has been put forward by the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) to partially solve this problem (it is only a partial solution as it will only work for new starters and not existing staff that wish to undertake an apprenticeship) so it is vital that there is no disruption to ATAs' ability to operate lest this remove one of the small number of potential options to address this problem.

Funding

On funding, we broadly agree with the parameters set out in the consultation for what the £7m fund can be spent on. However, we would urge the Government to think more broadly about the opportunities flexi and portable apprenticeships could bring, particularly in tackling chronic existing skills shortages of national significance, such as in the social care and environmental/public health workforces, as well as new opportunities such as the net zero jobs.

If the Government were to consider where other funding streams could align, such as apprenticeship incentives (which could be extended past the 30 September expiry date for specific sectors with acute skills shortages), or repurposing the 10% top up to apprenticeship Levy funding as a grant to Levy paying employers for use on a range of apprenticeships related costs, not just the cost of apprenticeship training, significant additional apprenticeship starts could be realised.

Broader apprenticeship reforms needed

More broadly while we welcome the Government's incremental changes to the Levy since 2017 (increased incentives, ability to transfer the Levy etc), the piecemeal approach has led to more confusion on the ground, as well as unspent Levy monies which have been returned to the Government. We believe that a more fundamental root and branch reform of the apprenticeship Levy is needed.

The fact that individual employers within a local area are encouraged to plan their own Levy activity with minimal read across to what other local employers are doing means that there is limited opportunity to join up across place. We believe opportunities like this should be unlocked so that employers are encouraged to collaborate, whether that be to transfer and pool funds or develop a business cases for the flexi approach.

This could be facilitated if local government, as leaders of place and conveners of partnerships, had a lead strategic role across a local area to plan provision and more local freedom and flexibility to use these funds. This could enable us to more effectively use the Levy to address supply and demand issue, target sectors to support the local business

community and widen participation to disadvantaged groups and specific cohorts and more local freedom and flexibility to use the Levy. Planning in this way should also wrap in unspent Levy and non-Levy funding. Alongside this we are calling for a proportion of the Levy to subsidise apprentices' wages and administration costs which to date has been a real challenge for employers.

The LGA is keen to discuss the issues contained in this paper with colleagues from relevant Government departments and agencies and councils and combined authorities.

End

Contact officers: Jamie Saddler Jamie.saddler@local.gov.uk, Jasbir Jhas jasbir.jhas@local.gov.uk

Publications Update

Purpose of report

For information.

Summary

Set out in this update paper is a brief overview of four reports that are due for publication in the coming weeks.

Is this report confidential? Yes No

Recommendation/s

Board Members are asked to note the information set out within the report.

Contact officer: Philip Clifford
Position: Senior Adviser
Phone no: 07909 898327
Email: philip.clifford@local.gov.uk

Publications Update

1. Over the course of the last year, a variety of reports have been commissioned by the LGA informed by the priorities of the City Regions Board. Set out below is a brief overview of three reports that due for publication in the coming weeks.

Councils Guide to Supporting Exports

2. Building on the Board's previous interest in this area, we have commissioned a councils' guide to supporting exports. This is a 'how to' guide for councils who want to play a greater role in supporting exporting businesses as part of the wider Levelling Up agenda. The guide includes case studies and good practice examples on how councils can advise and collaborate, develop export pathways and encourage diaspora links and cultural exchange. We are currently working with the Department of International Trade to finalise the project in advance of the launch of the Government's new exporting strategy. Publication is expected by early July.

Local government's role supporting the advanced digital skills pipeline

3. The UK is unparalleled in Europe in terms of the size and power of its tech industry and Government has earmarked the sector as a key pillar of the country's recovery and future growth, with ambitions to "make our country a science and technology superpower" set out in the Build Back Better plan for growth.
4. This project outlines the economic and employment contributions of the UK's digital tech sector and the key role that councils play in supporting local digital skills progression in the face of likely growth in the sector. It also highlights successful interventions undertaken to date and provides regional and national forecasts for digital employment which are available on LG Inform. The supplier is currently seeking final sign-off from local authorities who participated in the case studies, and the project will be published once these are approved, expected June 2021.
5. The key findings of the research are: Employment growth for tech specialist occupations will outstrip that for the workforce as a whole; Tech employment growth will be strongest outside of the traditional hotspots of London and the South East; Growth will be highest for management and development positions whilst tech support will see more modest increases.

Devolution deal to delivery

6. The LGA commissioned Shared Intelligence to carry out research with politicians, officers and external stakeholders in each combined authority area, looking at the process they had been on to secure a devolution deal, and what has worked well in the process of establishing a combined authority. The project has extracted learning from this process about the ways that each of the combined authorities function, what questions councils exploring becoming a combined authority could consider, and what

other options there are for collaboration if councils decide not to go down the route of a combined authority.

7. The research found that combined authorities vary significantly, with different geographies, powers, sizes, and styles of mayors, and ways in which devolution deals were negotiated, with the process becoming more formulaic over time. Although the landscape in which combined authorities are operating and their relationships with Government may be changing, participants highlighted the value of the soft powers of combined authority mayors and the importance of their enhanced profile, both in dealing with Government and as convenors. Shared Intelligence are now carrying out final changes to the report based on the discussions and feedback from the second sounding board meeting, and a final report will be published this summer.

City Regions Board Annual Report

Purpose of report

For information.

Summary

This report summarises the Board's activity over the past year. It sets out key achievements in relation to the priorities for the **City Regions Board** in 2020/21 and looks forward to next year's priorities.

Is this report confidential? Yes No

Reports should be made available to the public where possible. Consider why the report should be confidential (e.g. does it include commercially sensitive or personal information?). Please note that reports may be disclosable under Freedom of Information legislation. For further information see the FOI procedure [here](#).

Recommendation/s

Members are invited to:

1. Note the achievements against the board's priorities for 2020/21; and
2. Note the board's proposed priority areas for 2021/22

Action/s

Officers to take forward actions as appropriate.

Contact officer: Rebecca Cox
Position: Principal Policy Adviser
Phone no: 0207 187 7384
Email: rebecca.cox@local.gov.uk

City Regions Board Annual Report

Background

1. The City Regions Board was created to provide a clear voice and resource for urban authorities within the LGA.
2. Members are asked to consider the achievements of the board over the last year against the use of allocated resources and to reflect on whether the board is continuing to meet its original purpose in response to the emerging priorities of urban areas.

Priorities and Achievements

Devolution

3. In the run-up to the Queen's Speech, we [published research](#) showing 58 per cent of MPs and 80 per cent of peers agree that councils should be given greater powers and funding to drive employment and training schemes in their areas.
4. The LGA [responded](#) to the House of Lords Public Services Committee report on Levelling Up, saying bringing greater resources and powers over key responsibilities, such as skills, transport and housing, closer to communities, is the best way to ensure we have a recovery that works for everyone.
5. We responded to the Treasury Select Committee's inquiry into jobs, growth and productivity after coronavirus, setting out the important convening role played by local government in the many aspects of the local economy.
6. Following confirmation that the Devolution and Local Recovery White Paper has been superseded by the Levelling Up White Paper, we are considering how to ensure that the sector's devolution priorities and the opportunities for urban areas can best be included within this new agenda.
7. Following the successful [launch of its report in March](#), the Devolution APPG held its Annual General Meeting in May and agreed a new work programme.

Urban Issues

8. Work to explore the additional cost pressures facing urban areas was completed and the final report drawing on the experiences of councils tackling the issue of housing and homelessness sent to the Minister for Regional Growth and Local Government for consideration.

9. In the autumn, the Board agreed to commission new work to set out a vision for urban growth and recovery. This work is now concluding, and the final report highlights the differential impact that Covid-19 has had, with urban areas being acutely affected, including with higher rates of infections and a higher proportion of deaths. It discusses the way the pandemic has amplified existing challenges and exacerbated existing inequalities and identifies five priorities for urban areas in the next five years: restructuring, functioning places, tackling inequalities, addressing environmental sustainability and climate change, and securing sufficient resources. The report recommends the creation of a Sustainable Urban Futures Fund to provide long-term, large scale funding for integrated urban programmes, and stand in contrast to the current, fragmented, funding approach. This report is due to be published this summer.

Local growth

10. This Board, along with the People and Places Board, commissioned research into the lessons learned from the Local Industrial Strategy trailblazer areas and the implications for other areas developing local economic strategies. This is available on the LGA's [Local Industrial Strategies Hub](#).

Employment and skills

11. On 25 March, we brought together Whitehall leads with councils to engage on, and shape, Plan for Jobs related activity, and ran a workshop with the sector and the National Audit Office on its inquiry into the workings of DWP during Covid-19.
12. We responded to the [DfE's flexi-apprenticeship consultation](#), noting councils' important convening work during the pandemic, setting out the case for recovery interventions to be locally tailored, and pointing out councils' key role in ensuring interventions are coordinated nationally and locally.
13. We [briefed](#) for the 15 April Westminster Hall debate on the Education Committee's report on adult skills and lifelong learning; making the case for the role of local government.
14. We launched a new [Local employment and skills recovery learning hub](#) at a [webinar](#) on 20 May with 208 delegates. The hub contains *Top tips on five themes to boost local jobs and skills* and thirteen case studies highlighting practical local government action to coordinate jobs and skills during the pandemic and a report capturing lessons for the future.
15. We also launched a [report](#) capturing action learning to support local authorities to identify barriers and challenges in fulfilling their statutory duties for young people and to identify solutions.

16. We continue to work with the sector to set out the implications of the Government's Adult Education Budget funding rule change on councils' adult and community learning provision.
17. We responded to the House of Lords Youth Unemployment Committee's inquiry into measures taken to protect and create jobs for young people, reiterating our asks for young people in the recovery.

EU Funding/UK Shared Prosperity Fund

18. Through the Growth Programme Board and the Performance and Dispute Resolution National Sub Committee, the LGA has continued its work in shaping the Reserve Fund (the remaining ERDF and ESF programme) to support recovery. Having previously raised concerns about DWP not fully utilising the ESF programme before we leave the ESIF programme, there is evidence of significant improvements in the ESF appraisal process which means it is more likely that the total of the fund will be spent when we leave the programme in 2023.
19. The Government has launched the prospectus for the [UK Community Renewal Fund](#), the pilot programme to inform the design of the UK Shared Prosperity Fund. Following the launch of the UK Community Renewal Fund prospectus, officers are speaking to a number of lead authorities to seek feedback on the CRF process and any learning that might be made available for the design of the forthcoming UK Shared Prosperity Fund.

Freeports

20. East Midlands Airport, Felixstowe & Harwich, Humber, Liverpool City Region, Plymouth and South Devon, Solent, Teesside and Thames have been successful in the Freeports bidding process for England. It is vital that Freeports create new jobs and opportunities for local people and we have called on Government to remain alive to the risk of economic displacement of UK domestic businesses as it progresses with winning areas.
21. There were over thirty bids for Freeport status from across the country evidencing a high-level interest from local areas. We have asked Government to continue to consider how it can best work with the unsuccessful areas as part of its wider ambition to 'level up' the country and ensure no area is left behind.

Programme of work and priorities

22. The Board will seek to ensure the Government's plans for economic recovery account for the interests and challenges of urban places and lobby for them to have the powers and resources to lead a successful and sustainable recovery and drive improved outcomes in their communities.

23. The Board will also continue to work to ensure that the Government puts councils at the heart of its Levelling Up Agenda.
24. The Board will continue to take forward the LGA's lobbying work on skills, making the case for the Work Local model and continuing to engage with key stakeholders. The Board may want officers to focus on the role employment and skills will play in the Government's recovery plans.
25. The Board will continue to seek feedback on the UK Community Renewal Fund process to help the development of the design of the forthcoming UK Shared Prosperity Fund, and ensure that councils play a key role in managing growth funding through the Levelling Up Fund and UKSPF.

Next steps

26. Officers to take forward actions as appropriate to draft a work programme for the board.

Document is Restricted

Document is Restricted

